

**Arapahoe Transportation Forum: County Transportation Priorities & Funding
Technical Committee Meeting #17
May 21, 2020; 11:00am-1:00pm
6934 S. Lima St., Centennial (Open Space's, Bijou Room)**

Draft Agenda

Objectives:

- Discussion: Do we want to take the next step in deciding whether to seek a countywide funding mechanism for transportation in the context of recent changes (Covid 19/Coronavirus)?
 - Updates: DRCOG 2050 MVRTP and other items
-

11:00 Welcome and introductions

11:05 Public Comment session – Please let the facilitator know if you would like to share

11:15 Approve: Revised and Approve Tech. Committee's Meeting Summaries (2):

- Feb 20, 2020 Summary

11:20 REMINDER: County Wide Funding Mechanism Possibility – work to date

- **Formula:** 55% Capital / 45% Maintenance (**AGREEMENT:** 1/23/20 Exec. Mtg)
- **Distribution:** confirmed, based on lane miles (**AGREEMENT:** 1/23/20 & reconfirmed 2/20 Tech. Mtg)
- **Other:** Include a sunset, with renew options (**AGREEMENT:** 11/21/19 Tech Mtg)

- **To Be Determined:**
 - **Source: ???**
 - Reminder: sources with greatest Tech. Committee preference (2/20/20 mtg):
 - Option: Property Tax – 1mil = \$12.1 million annually
 - Option: Sales Tax – 0.25 = \$26.5 million annually
 - Reminder: Estimated Unmet Need (collected 12/2019)
 - Capital Unmet Need: \$38-41 million annually
 - Maintenance Unmet Need: \$128.5-136.5 million annually

11:30 DISCUSSION: Is there desire to continue to look at the possibility of seeking a countywide funding mechanism for transportation? Should we continue to take the next step (public engagement/input phase to better understand voter appetite overall, preference for sales tax or property tax and how much)?

12:35 DRCOG Updates: DRCOG 2050 MVRTP and others

12:50 Next Steps

- ??, 2020 – Executive Committee Meeting – Is there desire to take the next step?
- Jun 18, 2020 - Technical Committee Meeting – (TBD - Lima Plaza, Arapahoe Board Room)

1:00 Adjourn

REMINDERS:

Process Steps

- Draft best option (governance & distribution) - Tech. Committee and Forum Exe. Committee
- Decide whether to take next step, decide whether to go for a countywide funding mechanism –
- Public Involvement/Education
- Public Polling
- Decide whether to seek a countywide funding mechanism (refined)
- Ballot language
- Submit Ballot language
- Election

Draft Best Option for Funding Mechanism (showing where agreement was made)

- 1) **Source:** (TBD by Executive Committee discussion and possibly public engagement/input)
- 2) **Governance:** ... (decision of formula dictates most governance issues)
- 3) **Distribution Formula:**
 - a. **Formula:** 55% Capital + 45% Maintenance (**AGREEMENT:** 1/23/20 Exec. Mtg)
 - b. **Jurisdictional distribution method:** By lane miles (**AGREEMENT:** 1/23/20 Tech. Mtg)

55% Capital	45% Maintenance
<p>Definition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New asset, replacement of entire structure (full life cycle, starts at 0) • Requires higher level of structural engineering • Improvements, obvious ones and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Operational – physical improvements ○ Mobility/Capacity – may include corridor-wide technical improvements (e.g., adaptive signal systems, I-V) ○ Safety - big physical improvements • Not smaller technology improvements, must be corridor wide improvements 	<p>Definition</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Components (not whole replacement) – e.g., bridge deck replacement (not bridge replacement), roadway overlay, chip, or slurry (not complete rebuild or improvements)

4) Other elements

- Include a sunset, with renew options (**AGREEMENT:** 11/21/19 Tech Mtg)
 - a. **sAdditional Distribution agreements:** ???

5) Other elements

- Include a sunset, with renew options (**AGREEMENT:** 11/21/19 Tech Mtg)

Extra information, in case

- **Definition of Multimodal/Commuter (11/2019 mt):** Commuter focused (not recreation); Countywide focused; Not trails (covered by Open Space)

DRAFT